

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

AUG 03 2007

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

This is in response to the Office Action dated 16 January 2007. Applicant confirms the telephone advice provided by the Examiner on May 2, 2007 to the effect that the deadline of 3 months for response without extension is as stated in the Office Action summary and that the first paragraph at the end of the Office Action suggesting a shortened statutory period of 1 month was inserted in error. Applicant acknowledges with thanks the Examiner's offer to issue a supplemental Office Action to omit this error.

Applicant also acknowledges the telephone interviews with the Examiner conducted on May 15 and 23, 2007 in which the Examiner clarified that an amended Reissue Application: Consent of Assignee; Statement of Non-Assignment (Form PTO/SB/53), executed on behalf of the current assignee, together with a clean set of claim pages was required to cover the defects in the original reissue and in which the Examiner agreed to issue a further supplemental Office Action to so specify.

Applicant gratefully acknowledges the thorough examination to date and has made an effort to fully respond to all of the issues raised by the Examiner. Applicant has taken care and believes that no new matter has been introduced by way of this response. Reconsideration of the application in view of the following is respectfully requested.

The Examiner has objected to the Reissue/Oath Declaration filed with this application because the Consent of the Assignee and the 37 CFR 3.73 (b) statement is not signed by the same person and has in particular, rejected claims 1-3 as being based on a defective reissue application. Applicant thanks the Examiner for bringing this to the applicant's attention.

As now suggested by the Examiner, a Reissue Application: Consent of Assignee; Statement of Non-Assignment form (Form PTO/SB/53) executed by Guy J. Kelley, Assistant General Counsel, Intellectual Property for the current assignee, Hewlett-Packard Development Company, L.P. is enclosed herewith.

Also enclosed is the most recent Statement under 37 CFR 3.73(b) showing the chain of title to the current assignee and executed on behalf of same by Mr. Kelley.

The Examiner has objected to the amendment filed November 1, 2001 (applicant notes that this appears to be a typographical error, as it appears that the amendment was filed on November 20, 2001) on the basis that the amendments were not submitted in the prescribed manner. As required by the Examiner, a copy of all the claims submitted herewith under a separate sheet of paper.

It is believed that the Applicant has now complied with all the requirements of the office action, in providing a Reissue Application: Consent of Assignee; Statement of Non-Assignment (FORM PTO/SB/53) duly executed by the same person who executed the most recent Statement under 37 CFR 3.73(b), and an amended listing of the claims.

Respectfully Submitted,
Nicholas W. Dawes

By: 

Natalie Raffoul
Attorney or Agent under 37 CFR
1.34
Reg. No. 58324

C/O SHAPIRO COHEN
P.O. Box 3440
Station D
Ottawa, ON K1P 6P1
CANADA
Telephone: (613) 232-5300
NR:jam